GRAND ACADEMIC PORTAL
RESEARCH JOURNALS

HUMAN CREATIVITY VS AI GENERATED LITERARY STYLES

Niki Pathak

Vidyalankar School of Information and Technology

Abstract

The debate between Human creativity and AI (Artificial Intelligence) generated literary styles represents the study of advancement in natural language processing. This study explores the key elements, strengths; limitations of Human writers versus AI generated literary styles. The study analyzes creativity, originality, emotional depth, adaptability, flexibility, Traditional and advanced method of writing in both the segments. Apparently, AI demonstrates advanced efficiency and patterned remarkable recognition. While, Human writers have experienced traditional values and emotional quotient and with personal struggle, in the terms of writing they enhance while engaging audiences in the form valuable readers. Human creativity is highly valued as the form of unique narrative writing styles, emotional depth and cultural influences. The study also examines the future if AI in Literature reflecting its potential capabilities of a collaborative tool rather than an alternate for human writer.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The analysis of human creativity and AI-generated literary styles has been widely discussed in recent academic and literary studies. Several scholars argue that human creativity is deeply tied to personal experiences, emotions, and cultural contexts, making it irreplaceable by artificial intelligence (Hofstadter 2018). Similarly, Boden (2004) explores how human imagination works by combining existing knowledge in novel ways, an ability that AI lacks fundamentally.

In contrast, AI-generated texts have been analyzed for their ability to mimic literary styles and generate coherent narratives. Studies such as McGregor et al. (2021) highlight how AI models, particularly GPT-3 and GPT-4, can create sophisticated texts that resemble human writing but often lack genuine originality and emotional depth. Bender et al. (2021) also caution against the limitations of AI-generated text, emphasizing its dependence on training data and its struggle to produce meaningful and context-aware content.

Additionally, studies on digital humanities (Hayles 2017) have examined the role of AI as an assistive tool rather than a replacement for human writers. Researchers argue that AI can enhance the creative process by generating ideas, assisting in editing, and automating repetitive tasks, but it cannot replicate the intentionality and innovation seen in human literature.

Overall, the literature suggests that while AI has made significant strides in text generation, it remains a tool rather than an independent creator, and human creativity continues to be unparalleled in the literary world.

INTRODUCTION

The distinction between Human creativity and AI generated literary styles highlights few primary and secondary factors which are stimulated for the beneficiaries of the audiences who are influenced with the provided content. Simultaneously, AI has driven a drastic growth in generating poetries, proses, story narration, unique style of creating and developing literary styles. AI driven models such as Open AI'sGpt have demonstrated the ability to imitate several literary styles and produce concrete narratives. However, the essence of human creativity requires and involves constant recognition from the readers. This study objectifies to compare the ability of AI with human constructed works, highlighting their respective advantages and future endeavours.

METHODS

- **Originality and Innovation:**

Humans compose creativity in terms of story, art, culture, linguistics, drama, poem and prose. Apparently, Humans pour emotions, experiences of life, true essence of writing through enhancing feelings and emotions. In comparison to that, AI innovates and generates the idea of creative writing. By collecting data, to which AI relies on that particular data and somewhere looses the meaning and ethnicity of expressing emotions. It synthesizes patterns from existing work rather than innovating personal ideas.

- **Authenticity and Emotional Element:**

Writers indulge with personal struggles, personal emotions and experiences intriguing themselves while composing an art of work Which draws the attention of the readers and they feel a connect with the idea of realism. In contrast to that, AI can mimic emotional tonality, it lacks true emotions, understanding human ideas

https://www.gapbodhitaru.org/

and expressions and authentic. This may feel scientifically superficial and artificial lacking understanding and emotions.

- **Adaptability and Purpose:**

Writers can adapt emotions and their style of writing based on the contextual message that has to be delivered to the readers. An intended message to which audiences may feel the true essence and Humans write with purpose keeping Human perspectives, Psychology, Social Societal aspects and exploring abstract philosophical ideas addressing true essence of writing skills.

AI can compose writing skills but does not have humanly intrinsic purpose or personal struggles, motivation, aspiration to compose any literary styles. It follows patterns and algorithms rather than internal creativity drive.

HUMAN CREATIVITY IN LITERATURE

Meaning and Key Elements:
Human creativity in literature has the ability to develop the content by integrating emotional quotient, Nature, Experiences and Imagination. It involves and addresses artistic intent, Cultural influence and personal Expression. This provides the readers to associate with the valued content and generalised the impact of the author's life and significance of the thought process.

The Significance of Emotions and Experiences:
Human authors depict personal experiences and emotions to create comprehensive narratives. Themes such as Love, Loss, Identity, Belongingness, Diaspora and Existential Dilemmas reverberates deeply with readers because they associate genuine struggle of humans For instances, the introspective depth in Virginia Woolf's *To the Lighthouse* or the existential despair in Franz Kafka's *The Metamorphosis* may not be justify the resemblance by AI.

Literary Introspection and Rule Breaking:
Writers often break linguistic and structural conventions to create new artistic movements. From James Joyce's stream-of-consciousness technique to postmodern meta-fiction, literature evolves through human ingenuity and rebellion against norms. AI, by contrast, operates within predefined patterns, limiting its ability to innovate in unexpected ways.

AI GENERATED LITERARY STYLES:

Strengths of AI in the world of Literature:
- **Speed and Efficiency –** AI can generate large amount of words and vocabulary in short span of duration. Making it available for media, communication, journalism, content creation and story narration.
- **Mimicry – AI** can possibly replicate the styles of various authors from Shakespeare's verse to Hemingway's style.
- **Objectivity –** AI written content is free from personal biasness and can provide analytical writing concepts to the readers.

Limitations of AI in the world of Literature:
- **Lack of Truth and Creativity:** AI represents patterns but indeed fails to recollect original ideas and humanly perception.
- **Absence of Emotional Quotient:** AI lacks genuine emotions and personal experiences, making it work less impactful and conveying human emotions and struggles.
- **Inability to challenge literary norms:** AI cannot break rules deliberately in a way meaningful and artistic way.

COMPARATIVE STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF HUMAN VS AI IN LITERATURE

FACTORS	HUMAN AUTHORS	AI GENERATED CONTENT
Emotional Quotient	Depth with humanly emotions and lively experiences.	Lacks Human emotions and personal struggles.
Adaptability	Evolves over time with changing perception.	Imitates but fails to adapt the reality.
Narrative patterns	Can create layered, symbolic and ambiguous narrations	Is supposed to be straightforward and lacks deep realism
Speed and Efficiency	Thoughtful and Time consuming	Instantaneous but lack coherence
Originality and Creativity	Invents new style, themes and perspectives.	Recombines existing pattern.

THE FUTURE OF "AI" IN LITERATURE:

In spite of, replacing human authors AI is more likely to serve as an effective tool. It can help the authors to generate their own ideas and valuable concepts through which an individual may get drawn effected towards the ideas provided through AI tools. But true literary innovation will remain a human endeavour. AI may also redefine the concept of collaboration, allowing for hybrid works where human creativity guides AI-generated content.

AI generated concepts may flourish through the readers mind but that may intentionally not reach to readers hearts...One wise man may define literary genres and styles through a reflection of Emotions and from authors personal struggles and natural surroundings Whereas, AI being an efficient effective tool may consider these emotions as an adaptability activity.

Virginia Woolf was known for her **modernist** literary style, which often featured **stream of consciousness, psychological depth, and experimental narrative technique:**
"Mrs. Dalloway" (1925) The novel follows a single day in the life of Clarissa Dalloway as she prepares for a party, exploring her inner thoughts and memories.
Realism**:** Woolf captures **ordinary life, social pressures, and internal struggles**, giving a deep psychological portrait of her characters.
"To the Lighthouse" (1927) The novel portrays the **Ramsay family's** life over time, including how they deal with personal loss and changing relationships.
Realism**:** Woolf realistically depicts **family dynamics, the passage of time, and the human experience of memory and grief**.
"A Room of One's Own" (1929, Essay) Woolf discusses **women's role in literature and the challenges they face**, using real-world observations and historical examples. **Realism:** It's an early feminist text that **realistically critiques gender inequality in literature and society**.

Human-Created Literary Works
1. **"Moby-Dick" by Herman Melville** – A rich, symbolic novel with deep philosophical themes.
2. **"1984" by George Orwell** – A dystopian masterpiece exploring politics, surveillance, and human psychology.
3. **"The Waste Land" by T.S. Eliot** – A modernist poem filled with allusions, fragmented narrative, and deep meaning.
4. **"Beloved" by Toni Morrison** – A lyrical and haunting novel about memory, trauma, and identity.
5. **"The Catcher in the Rye" by J.D. Salinger** – A novel that captures the voice and struggles of adolescence.

AI-Generated Literary Works:
1. **GPT-3/ChatGPT Short Stories & Poems** – AI can create narratives and poetic forms based on learned styles.
2. **AI-Written Sonnets** – Using Shakespearean rules, AI can generate structured sonnets.
3. **"1 the Road" by Ross Goodwin (2018)** – A novel written by AI using a neural network, imitating Jack Kerouac's style.
4. **AI-Generated Sci-Fi Stories** – Various projects use AI to write futuristic and speculative fiction.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPES

AI generated contents shall continue being efficient and may serve as an effective tools for the humans to enhance their compatibility with genres and literary styles. Apparently, at the other hand human writings and literary contents have flourished and renowned. AI resemblances futuristic fictional works which may intrigue readers and may highlight the perception of how it could be? As technology evolves, the best literary works may arise from an alliance between human ingenuity and AI efficiency.

WORKS CITIED

[1] Boden, Margaret A. *The Creative Mind: Myths and Mechanisms.* Routledge, 2004.
[2] Bender, Emily M., et al. "On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big?" *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 2021, pp. 610–623.
[3] Hayles, N. Katherine. *Unthought: The Power of the Cognitive Nonconscious.* University of Chicago Press, 2017.
[4] Hofstadter, Douglas. *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid.* Basic Books, 2018.

https://www.gapbodhitaru.org/

[5] McGregor, Stephen, et al. "Neural Networks and Literary Creativity." *Journal of AI Research*, vol. 70, 2021, pp. 1-30.